



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,384	10/11/2001	Chad A. Mirkin	00-713-i13	9813
7590 04/27/2005			EXAMINER	
Emily Miao			RILEY, JEZIA	
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 S. Wacker Drive			1637	
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 04/27/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		09/975,384	MIRKIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Jezia Riley	1637	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply		t with the correspondence address	
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the modern department. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma to reply within the statutory minimum o riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) atute, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. wance except for formal n		
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>433-470</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>433-470</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	drawn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ 1 10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the Exan The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b)⊠ objected the drawing(s) be held in abe rection is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received i priority documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB, No(s)/Mail Date 10/22/04 7/08/04.	Paper I	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	1

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/975,384 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. Applicants' arguments, filed on 5/13/04, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 433-470 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 433 recites the limitation "oligonucleotides attached to **the nanoparticles**" in lines 5-6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 448, 453 recite the limitation "on each type of nanoparticles" in lines 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent

Application/Control Number: 09/975,384

Art Unit: 1637

and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 433-442, 447, 461-470 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 32-51 and 70 of U.S. Patent No. 6,709,825 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both claiming satellite probe comprising a particle having attached thereto oligonucleotides and probe oligonucleotides hybridized to the oligonucleotides and have a portion complementary to the oligo attached to the particles and identical to a portion of the target and reporter.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to because they are non formal drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

Application/Control Number: 09/975,384 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 6. No claim is allowed.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,384

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuesday, April 19, 2005

JEZIA RILEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5